## -UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## MAILED

AUG 1 9 1996

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte STUART CORR

Appeal No. 95-5108 Application 07/957,080<sup>1</sup>

## ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

On January 27, 1995, an Examiner's Answer (Paper No. 16) which raised a new ground of rejection was entered. Applicant filed a Reply Brief in response to the new ground on March 27, 1995 (Paper No. 19). The examiner acknowledged the Reply Brief in a communication entered on April 3, 1995 (Paper No. 20). Section 1208.04 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) (6th Ed., Rev. 1, Sept. 1995) states:

If the reply brief was filed in response to a new ground of rejection in the examiner's answer, the examiner must issue a supplemental answer indicating whether the new ground of rejection has been overcome, and, if it has not, explaining why not.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Application for patent filed October 7, 1992.

Appeal No. 95-5108 Application 07/957,080

The communication of April 3, 1995 (Paper No. 20) does not comply with the above requirement.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner for proper response to the new ground of rejection in the Answer entered January 27, 1995 (Paper No. 16) and for such further action as may be appropriate.

The application, by virtue of its "special" status, requires immediate action. <u>See MPEP § 708.01(d)</u>. It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

By:

DALE SHAW

Program and Resource Administrator

(703) 308-9797

DS:psb

cc:

Paul N. Kokulis

Cushman, Darby & Cushman 1100 New York Avenue, N.W.

9th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005-3918